



Photoelasticity: Past, Present and Future Challenges

William Fraser¹ and Rachel Tomlinson²

Arkema UK Ltd¹, Wetherby School of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil Engineering² The University of Sheffield







- Fundamentals of Photoelasticity
- Past History
- Present Technologies, Drawbacks and Solutions
- Future Challenges



Fundamentals

- Photoelasticity utilises an anisotropic optical property – birefringence.
- Birefringent materials possess two perpendicular refractive indices.
- A single 'wave' of light passing through a birefringent material will 'split' into two components that travel through at different speeds.
- 2. Circularly polarised light will emerge elliptically polarised due to a shift in phase.





Fundamentals



Circular polariscope



2

3

Development of fringes upon increasing load



 $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) = \frac{NF_{\sigma}}{d}$

 $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) = \text{principal stress difference (MPa)}$ N = Fringe order d = Thickness (mm) $F_{\sigma} = \text{Material stress fringe value (N/mm/fringe)}$

Ordering of fringes to calculate principal stress difference





Reflection photoelastic stress analysis (RPSA)

RPSA is used to assist in a variety of design and manufacture processes.



This provides users with 'real' stress information allowing for:

 Information regarding maximum shear strains in complex geometries. Correction and validation of Finite Element Analysis (FEA). • Instant identification of critical areas.



History – Transmission Photoelasticity

- 1816 Temporary Double Refraction observed by Sir David Brewster
- 1822 Fresnel confirmed by experiment Brewster's findings
- 1853 Maxwell publishes the Theory of photoelasticity
- 1931 "Treatise on Photoelasticity" by Coker and Filon
- 1940s Publications by Neumann; Frocht

Subsequent development of polariscopes, materials, methodologies, for static and dynamic applications

• 1990s Digital photoelasticity technological developments





Model of an aeroengine fir-tree root connecting blades and disc





Residual stresses in an uncut diamond



Residual stresses in glass products









History – Reflection photoelasticity (RPE) Birefringent Coatings

- 1930 Mesnager used glass fragments as photoelastic coating Sensitive to changes however impact of reinforcement problematic
- 1950 Availability of epoxy resins contributed to development of RPE
- 1960s Zandman developed a contourable photoelastic sheet which was applied on complex structures in industrial settings.
- 2000s Hubner developed an experimental luminescent PE coating based on UV curable materials.
 Required conditions limited practical use
- 2019 2023

Development of thin and efficient PE coatings for industrial applications



History – Reflection photoelasticity (RPE) Acquisition and analysis

Direct observations utilising polariscopes and manual manipulation of optics

1980 – 1990s:

- Hecker and Morche (1986) introduced phase stepping for automated photoelasticity
- Developments of phase stepping methodology,
 - e.g. six step phase stepping; Patterson and Wang (1991)

1997-2003:

- Developments of digital image acquisition and processing for RPE.
 Both static and dynamic capture.
 - 1997 advent of the grey field polariscope
 - 2002 advent of the poleidoscope for dynamic capture





Present - Technologies

Imaging and processing

Stress Photonics' polariscope and poleidoscope:

- Commercially available
- Ease of use
- Sub-fringe resolution of better than 0.1 nm
- 20 micro-strain resolution
- Automatic thickness correction*

*Tinted coating required



GFP1600: Grey field polariscope for static RPSA

Coating materials

Micro-measurements' photoelastic sheets:

- Flat sheets for basic geometries.
 - Range of thicknesses and sensitivities.
- Mouldable plastics for complex geometries.

$$(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) = \frac{\delta}{2dK}$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{principal strain difference} \\ \delta = \text{Retardation (nm)} \\ d = \text{Thickness} \\ K = \text{Strain-optic coefficient} \end{pmatrix}$



Video playback 32x speed: 30 minute coating preparation

24 hours cure

6 minute protective coating removal



Present - Drawbacks of RPSA



14

The

coating

material

The University Present - Developing a new coating Of Sheffield. Thin (~50 µm) **Properties of** Easy-to-apply a potential (sprayable) new coating Curable in a matter of seconds

(UV curable)





Application concerns: Thickness control

We can't control; but...we can measure

- Use of a tinted coating.
- 1.5 wt% of a red epoxy dye.
 - Balance of cure performance, PE sensitivity and signal.
- A red coating will transmit red light whilst absorbing blue light.
- The ratio between captured red and blue light can be translated into a thickness measurement.



102 mm



Application concerns: Thickness control



25 mm

Calculated thickness: 0.046 \pm 0.007 mm

Measured thickness: $0.046 \pm 0.004 \text{ mm}$



Application concerns: Thickness control

Thickness correction on a Glass Laminate Aluminium Reinforced Epoxy (GLARE) sample – Load applied: 9 kN



38 mm

Corrected



Present - An industrial focused application The identification of critical areas.



RPSA



Future of Reflection Photoelasticity

- Sprayable
- Formulation optimisation
 - LED curable for safe and easy application within industrial settings
 - Focused chemistry on PE sensitivity go thinner
- Ease of use with computional techniques e.g. FEA for validation.



Thank you for listening

Acknowledgements:

Airbus

Arkema

Geoff Calvert- VisEng Ltd

NPL