



### **Photoelasticity: Past, Present and Future Challenges**

William Fraser<sup>1</sup> and Rachel Tomlinson<sup>2</sup>

Arkema UK Ltd<sup>1</sup>, Wetherby School of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil Engineering<sup>2</sup> The University of Sheffield







- Fundamentals of Photoelasticity
- Past History
- Present Technologies, Drawbacks and Solutions
- Future Challenges



## Fundamentals

- Photoelasticity utilises an anisotropic optical property – birefringence.
- Birefringent materials possess two perpendicular refractive indices.
- 1. A single 'wave' of light passing through a birefringent material will 'split' into two components that travel through at different speeds.
- 2. Circularly polarised light will emerge elliptically polarised due to a shift in phase.





## Fundamentals ( ) | ON



#### Circular polariscope



2

3

### Development of fringes upon increasing load



#### $\sigma_1 - \sigma_2$ ) =  $NF_{\sigma}$  $\overline{d}$

 $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)$  = principal stress difference (MPa)  $N =$  Fringe order *=* Thickness (mm)  $F_{\sigma}$  = Material stress fringe value (N/mm/fringe)

### Ordering of fringes to calculate principal stress difference





## Reflection photoelastic stress analysis (RPSA)

### RPSA is used to assist in a variety of design and manufacture processes.



### This provides users with 'real' stress information allowing for:

• Information regarding maximum shear strains in complex geometries.

• Correction and validation of Finite Element Analysis (FEA).

• Instant identification of critical areas.



## History – Transmission Photoelasticity

- 1816 Temporary Double Refraction observed by Sir David Brewster
- 1822 Fresnel confirmed by experiment Brewster's findings
- 1853 Maxwell publishes the Theory of photoelasticity
- 1931 "Treatise on Photoelasticity" by Coker and Filon
- 1940s Publications by Neumann; Frocht

Subsequent development of polariscopes, materials, methodologies, for static and dynamic applications

• 1990s Digital photoelasticity technological developments





#### Model of an aeroengine fir-tree root connecting blades and disc





### Residual stresses in an uncut diamond



### Residual stresses in glass products









## History – Reflection photoelasticity (RPE) **Birefringent Coatings**

- 1930 Mesnager used glass fragments as photoelastic coating *Sensitive to changes however impact of reinforcement problematic*
- 1950 Availability of epoxy resins contributed to development of RPE
- 1960s Zandman developed a contourable photoelastic sheet which was applied on complex structures in industrial settings.
- 2000s Hubner developed an experimental luminescent PE coating based on UV curable materials. *Required conditions limited practical use*
- 2019 2023

Development of thin and efficient PE coatings for industrial applications



## History – Reflection photoelasticity (RPE) **Acquisition and analysis**

Direct observations utilising polariscopes and manual manipulation of optics

1980 – 1990s:

- Hecker and Morche (1986) introduced phase stepping for automated photoelasticity
- Developments of phase stepping methodology,
	- e.g. six step phase stepping; Patterson and Wang (1991)

#### 1997-2003:

- Developments of digital image acquisition and processing for RPE. Both static and dynamic capture.
	- 1997 advent of the grey field polariscope
	- 2002 advent of the poleidoscope for dynamic capture





## Present - Technologies

### Imaging and processing

Stress Photonics' polariscope and poleidoscope:

- Commercially available
- Ease of use
- Sub-fringe resolution of better than 0.1 nm
- 20 micro-strain resolution
- Automatic thickness correction\*

\*Tinted coating required



GFP1600: Grey field polariscope for static RPSA

### Coating materials

Micro-measurements' photoelastic sheets:

- Flat sheets for basic geometries.
	- Range of thicknesses and sensitivities.
- Mouldable plastics for complex geometries.

$$
(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) = \frac{\delta}{2dK} \int_{K}^{(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) = \text{principal strain difference}}_{d = \text{Thickness}}
$$
\n
$$
K = \text{strain-optic coefficient}
$$



Video playback 32x speed: 30 minute coating preparation

24 hours cure

6 minute protective coating removal



## Present - Drawbacks of RPSA



**The photoelastic coating material**



(UV curable)



### Application concerns: Thickness control

### We can't control; but...we can measure

- Use of a tinted coating.
- 1.5 wt% of a red epoxy dye.
	- Balance of cure performance, PE sensitivity and signal.
- A red coating will transmit red light whilst absorbing blue light.
- The ratio between captured red and blue light can be translated into a thickness measurement.



102 mm



### Application concerns: Thickness control



25 mm

#### Calculated thickness: **0.046** ± **0.007 mm**

#### Measured thickness: **0.046** ± **0.004 mm**



### Application concerns: Thickness control

#### **Thickness correction on a Glass Laminate Aluminium Reinforced Epoxy (GLARE) sample** – Load applied: 9 kN



38 mm Corrected



### Present - An industrial focused application The identification of critical areas.



2 kN Load





## Future of Reflection Photoelasticity

- Sprayable
- Formulation optimisation
	- LED curable for safe and easy application within industrial settings
	- Focused chemistry on PE sensitivity go thinner
- Ease of use with computional techniques e.g. FEA for validation.



# Thank you for listening

Acknowledgements:

Airbus

Arkema

Geoff Calvert- VisEng Ltd

NPL